This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/853,565	09/04/2001	Edward Sean Hoskins	STL9650/40046.114USU1 6329		
7590 08/26/2004			EXAMINER		
DAVID K. LUCENTE			CHOI, WOO H		
SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPTCOL2LGL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
389 DISC DRIVE			2186		
LONGMONT,	CO 80503	DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	t .	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>		
Office Action Summary		09/853,56	65	HOSKINS, EDWARD SE	EAN		
		Examine		Art Unit			
		Woo H. C	hoi	2186			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev unication.)) days, a reply within the stat tutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE!	riely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>04 September 2</i>	<u>2001</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
6)⊠ 7)□	□ Claim(s)is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2001 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	is/are: a)⊠ acceptection to the drawing(s) the correction is require	oe held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Information	ot (s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Proving No(s)/Mail Date 8/23/01.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 8, 11 13 and 16 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dobbek *et al.* (US Patent No. 5,937,435, hereinafter "Dobbek 435").
- 3. With respect to claims 1, 12, 13, and 20, Dobbek 435 discloses a method for translating a target logic block address (TLBA) value to a physical location value on a data storage disc of a disc drive, comprising steps of:
- a) finding a target physical block address (TPBA) value corresponding to the TLBA value (figure 9, col. 16, lines 12-15);
- b) determining a track offset value of the TPBA value from a start of a zone on the disc containing a TPBA corresponding to the TPBA value (figure 12, 1204 1210);
- c) computing a physical cylinder value and a head value from the track offset value (1212 1214);

Art Unit: 2186

- d) determining a total skew value (figure 5, 536) and a PBA (physical block address) offset (col. 17, lines 46 51) value of the TPBA value; and
- e) computing a physical sector value from the total skew value and the PBA offset value (col. 17, lines 46 51 and col. 14, line 62 col. 15, line 3).
- 4. With respect to claim 2, the method further comprises steps of:
- f) finding a track start logical block address (TSLBA) value for the TLBA value (figure 9, col. 17, lines 6-9); and
- g) computing a logical sector value from the TSLBA value and the TLBA value (col. 17, lines 9-14).
- 5. With respect to claims 3 and 16, a defect list is maintained by the disc drive and the finding step a) comprises steps of:
- a)(i) finding a defect list (figure 9, 908, 910) entry that provides a number of slips up to a TLBA corresponding to the TLBA value; and
- a)(ii) adding the number of slips up to the TLBA to the TLBA value to find the TPBA value (col. 17, lines 33 46).
- 6. With respect to claims 4 and 17, the determining step b) comprises steps of:
 - b)(i) calculating a zone start PBA (ZSPBA) value; and
- b)(ii) reducing the TPBA value by the ZSPBA value to find a number of PBAs from a ZSPBA corresponding to the ZSPBA value to the TPBA (figure 12, 1204 1208).

Art Unit: 2186

- 7. With respect to claims 5 and 18, the computing step c) comprises steps of:
- c)(i) scaling the number of PBAs from the ZSPBA by a number of PBAs per track within a zone containing the TPBA; and
- c)(ii) using an integer portion of a quotient determined in scaling step c)(i) as the track offset value (figure 12, 1210).
- 8. With respect to claims 6 and 19, the computing step c) further comprises steps of:
 - c)(iii) scaling the track offset value by a number of heads; and
- c)(iv) using an integer portion of a quotient determined in scaling step c)(iii) as the physical cylinder value (figure 12, 1212).
- 9. With respect to claim 7, the computing step c) further comprises steps of:c)(v) using a remainder of scaling step c)(iii) as the physical head value (figure 12, 1214).
- 10. With respect to claim 8, the determining step d) comprises a step d)(i) of using a remainder of scaling step c)(i) as the PBA offset (figure 12, 1208).
- 11. With respect to claim 11, the method further comprises a step h) of computing a logical end of the track (LEOT) containing the TLBA by finding a number of slips on the track and deducting the number of slips on the track from the number of PBAs on the track (col. 18, lines 12-21).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/853,565

Art Unit: 2186

- 12. With respect to claim 12, the disc drive further comprises a memory containing a defect list (figure 9, 908, 910), and wherein the processor is further configured to find the TPBA by finding a defect list entry that provides a number of slips up to a TLBA corresponding to a TLBA value contained in the command and adding the number of slips up to the TLBA to the TLBA value to find the TPBA value (col. 17, lines 33 46).
- 13. With respect to claim 13, the processor is further configured to determine a total skew value by finding a first skew value including all skew up to a zone containing the TPBA, find a second skew value including all skew within the zone containing the TPBA, and modulo a sum of the first skew value and the second skew value by the number of PBAs per track to find the total skew value (col. 14, line 62 col. 15, line 3, col. 12, line 10 12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 9, 10, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobbek 435 in view of Dobbek *et al.* (US Patent No. 5,890,209, hereinafter "Dobbek 209").

Art Unit: 2186

16. With respect to claims 9 and 14, Dobbek 435 discloses all of the limitations of the parent claim as discussed above. However, Dobbek 435 does not specifically disclose the details of skew calculations. On the other hand, Dobbek 209 specifically disclose such details (Dobbek 209, col. 11, lines 11-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Dobbek before him at the time the invention was made, to use the skew calculation teachings of Dobbek 209 in the disk storage system of Dobbek 435, in order to decrease the track seek time overhead in disk drives and further eliminate the overall performance degradation associated with the track seek in no-ID disk drives (Dobbek 209, col. 3, lines 60 - 63)

17. With respect to claims 10 and 15, the processor is further configured to find the physical sector value by summing the total skew value and the PBA offset (Dobbek 435, col. $21\ 34-40$, applying the skew conversion to the raw unskewed skip sector conversion has the net cumulative effect of a summation).

Conclusion

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nemezie et al. (US Patent No. 6,560,055), Hetzler et al (US Patent No. 5,523,903) and Dobbek (US Patent No. 5,890,209) disclose other methods of translating LBAs to PBAs.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/853,565

Art Unit: 2186

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Woo H. Choi whose telephone number is (703) 305-3845. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3821. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

whc August 4, 2004

> MATTHEW KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINET

TECHNOLOGY CENTER